Intraoperative monitoring of the facial nerve in parotid surgery
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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this article is to analyse the facial nerve electrophysiological monitoring effectiveness in preventing injuries of this nerve during parotid surgery. To achieve this goal we analysed relevant data available in the literature on both the surgical technique and intraoperative continuous monitoring principles. In order to draw the conclusions of this paper we correlated the obtained data with our own experience.
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BACKGROUND

Parotidectomy is a well standardized surgical procedure, usually performed by the ENT head and neck surgeons or the oral and maxillofacial surgeons, for benign and malignant conditions of the parotid gland (1).

The peripheral branches of the facial nerve are in close contact with the parotid gland, so one of the most important and feared complications of this type of surgery is represented by this nerve's injury, during surgical dissection. This can occur through mechanical (sectioning, stretching, compressing the nerve), thermal or electrical injuries or ischemia, leading to temporary or permanent nerve disfunction, with important functional and aesthetic prejudices.

Nerve monitoring systems are designed to locate and identify cranial and peripheral motor and mixed motor-sensory nerves during surgery, including spinal cord and spinal nerve roots. The target nerves are stimulated periodically by an electric current of low intensity. These systems can be used in intracranial, extracranial, intratemporal, extratemporal surgery, neck dissections, thoracic surgeries and surgical procedures on the upper and lower limbs.

Being based on EMG responses to direct or passive nerve stimulation, a monitoring device can’t be used during anesthesia with paralyzing agents because these substances will completely annihilate the response to stimulation, transforming the device into a redundant one (2).
Intraoperative nerve monitoring of the facial nerve is widely used in otologic, neurotologic and skullbase surgery, its effectiveness, in these types of surgeries, being proven over the years. The use of facial nerve monitoring in parotid surgery has the same goals as in the other types of surgery, previously described. It’s main purpose is to reduce the risk of damage to the facial nerve during total or partial parotidectomy. Although temporary dysfunction of the facial nerve following parotidectomy is relatively common, facial paralysis occurs in less than 5% of patients, in most cases this being the result of difficult surgical dissection due to large tumors, scar tissue from previous surgical procedures or repeated inflammatory outbursts (3-9).

Even if the percentage of 5% may seem small at first glance, the impact of facial paralysis at an individual level can be devastating, as a consequence of the functional and aesthetic prejudice. Psychologically, each patient deals in a different way with this condition, psychiatric disorders from anxiety to paranoia being reported after facial paralysis (10-11). No correlation between the degree of dysfunction and functional damage could be demonstrated (11).

A study conducted in the U.S. showed that the surgeons using a facial nerve monitoring system were less likely to have a malpractice lawsuit related to parotid surgery (12).

**SURGICAL TECHNIQUE**

Parotidectomy can be superficial, partial, deep lobe, total or extended. In choosing the type of parotidectomy that has to be performed, the surgeon’s experience is crucial – „Surgeons will spend their entire career trying to learn when it is safe or necessary to do more or less than a superficial parotidectomy” (Variations of Parotidectomy – Indications and Technique, Kerry D. Olsen, M.D. Professor and Chairman, Head and Neck Surgery, Mayo Clinic).

This procedure is indicated in benign or malignant tumors of the gland, malignant tumors of the skin covering the gland, chronic parotiditis refractory to medical or minimally invasive treatment (sialendoscopy), in the complications following siaioscopy and for the resection of lymph nodes at risk for metastases (13).

This type of surgery is contraindicated in patients with important comorbidities, when general anesthesia can’t be administered.

The goals of parotidectomy are to remove safely and completely the lesion (following the principles of oncologic surgery), to preserve the function of the facial nerve and, if it is possible, to preserve the posterior branch of the greater auricular nerve.

In order to achieve these goals the facial nerve must be identified and safely dissected by an anterograde or retrograde approach. The anterograde approach seems to be the most commonly used technique of identification and dissection of the facial nerve (14). The first step for avoiding this type of lesions consists in safely identifying the trunk of the facial nerve as it exits the skull through the stylomastoid foramen, at the level of the tympanomastoid suture, tragal pointer or posterior belly of the digastric muscle (15). In order to expose the trunk of the facial nerve at the level of the stylomastoid foramen, the dissection should pass down the avascular plane situated between the body of the parotid gland and the external acoustic canal. The dissection should be continued to the junction of the bony canal with the cartilaginous one (16). Usually, an extension of the cartilage points towards the place where the facial nerve leaves the stylomastoid foramen. The facial nerve can be discovered through an anterograde approach at about 9mm from the posterior belly of the digastric muscle and 11 mm from the bony external meatus (Holt 1996).

The retrograde approach is based on the identification of the peripheral branches of the facial nerve based on soft tissue surgical landmarks. In this type of approach a nerve monitor can be used, providing an easier way of identifying the ramifications of the facial nerve (17).

**Facial monitoring**

Facial nerve monitoring during parotid surgery has been done in multiple ways over the years, from watching facial movement determined by electric or mechanic stimulation by the surgeon to „state of the art technology” – EMG of the face with 8 channels neuro monitors.

Yet none of the methods described above can replace a judicious surgical dissection, following well-defined surgical landmarks, and not at least, the personal experience of the surgeon in this type of surgery.

The main roles of monitoring the facial nerve are: reducing the risk of iatrogenic trauma during dissection, mapping the course of the nerve, early nerve identification and warning the surgeon about the proximity of the nerve during dissection (18).

Usually there are four electrodes inserted in the skin of the face inervated by the peripheric branches of facial nerve: frontal, zygomatic, buccal and mandibular, one ground electrode and a sterile probe that can be inserted in the surgical field. The role of this device is to
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identify the main trunk of the facial nerve, to differentiate the facial nerve and/or branches from sensory nerves and to stimulate the areas that are found in the vicinity of the facial branches with an electric current of 0.5 mA intensity and a duration of 100 microseconds. Another parameter used is the event threshold, that is set at 100 microvolts, figure 1 (19).

Although in the US and Great Britain nerve monitoring is used in almost every parotidectomy, some authors consider that it has specific applications, usually in situations when the risk of nerve damage is higher than normal: parotid revision surgery, previously irradiated patients, large malignant tumors or chronic parotiditis (20-22).

Various reports from literature show different data about the benefits of intraoperative monitoring of the facial nerve: Wolf et al. reports a decrease in the operative time and an increase in facial function preservation, Terell et al. published a study performed on 117 patient that showed no difference between the study group and the control group, intraoperative time or incidence of permanent facial paralysis (23, 24). In 1998 Witt reported a retrospective study on 53 patients that proved no improvement in the outcomes of the parotid surgery when using facial monitoring (25). Lopez et al., Doikov et al., Makeieff et al. and Wang et al. observed positive results in patients that underwent different types of parotidectomy with facial nerve monitoring, regarding the incidence of temporary or permanent facial paralysis and the period of time necessary for the temporary facial paralysis to recover (26-29).

CONCLUSIONS

By reviewing the data from the literature completed with our experience we believe that facial nerve monitoring in parotid surgery is an adjunctive method that can guide the surgeon during difficult dissections but can not replace a good knowledge of the local anatomy or his experience.

Also, we consider that by using the continueous electrophysiologic facial nerve monitoring, the patient can be reassured that every method and device available is used for his/her welfare, reducind in this way the risk of a malpractice related lawsuit.
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